

Message

---

**From:** Carpenter, Angela [Carpenter.Angela@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 5/6/2020 12:15:09 PM  
**To:** Fajardo, Juan [Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov]; Vaughn, Stephanie [Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Kaur, Supinderjit [Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Rolling Knolls

Good morning Juan,

Regarding Rich's questions, I agree that we would put both FS critique documents into the AR, after all we have both and have/will consider both. Also, I have no objection to an extension.

---

**From:** Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 06, 2020 7:53 AM  
**To:** Carpenter, Angela <Carpenter.Angela@epa.gov>; Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Rolling Knolls

Ricci has 2 questions:

- 1) will the DOI FS critique and the Group's response to the critique be part of the administrative record? I think the answer is yes. If either document is not part of the admin. record it would indicate that we did not consider the document. While we do not need to agree with the conclusions in the documents, we do need to consider the information provided.
- 2) Can the Group get a 30 day extension to the Bill which they will be paying in full? Also yes, I assume.